Emergency Medicine Research: A Review of Resident Research Session #2

ashp

June 17, 2021

atoru Ito, PharmD, BC Pharmacy Pharmacist

S

Relevant Financial Relationship Disclosure

No one in control of the content of this activity has a relevant financial relationship (RFR) with an ineligible company.

As defined by the Standards of Integrity and Independence definition of ineligible company.



Presenters

- Mary O'Keefe, Pharm.D., PGY-2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident, Froedert and the Medical College of Wisconsin
- Casey L. Boyer, Pharm.D., PGY-2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident, Grady Health System
- Monique Payne-Cardona, Pharm.D., BCPS, PGY2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident, Boston Medical Center Health System
- Gideon Berdahl, Pharm.D., PGY-1 Pharmacy Resident, Boston Medical Center Health System
- Monica E. Coupe, Pharm.D., PGY-1/MS Health-System Pharmacy Administration and Leadership Resident, OhioHealth Grant Medical Center
- Krista Dumkow, Pharm.D., BCPS, PGY-2 Emergency Medicine Resident, AdventHealth Orlando
- Amanda Lewandowski, Pharm.D., PGY-1 Pharmacy Resident, AdvocateAuroraHealth
- Maribel Llamas Rangel, Pharm.D., PGY1 Pharmacy Resident, The MetroHealth System



Relevant Financial Relationship Disclosure

No one in control of the content of this activity has a relevant financial relationship (RFR) with an ineligible company.

As defined by the Standards of Integrity and Independence definition of ineligible company.



Optimization of Benzodiazepine Use for Status Epilepticus in the Emergency Department

MARY O'KEEFE, PHARMD

PGY2 EMERGENCY MEDICINE PHARMACY RESIDENT

FROEDTERT & THE MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN

FROEDTERT HOSPITAL

JUNE 2021



Background

- **Benzodiazepines** (BZDs) = firstline therapy for management SE
- Under-dosing occurs due to the suspected risk of adverse effects
- Multiple studies have shown only ~30% of BZD doses reach the guideline recommendations

Guideline recommended BZD agents, route, & dosing			
Intramuscular (IM) Midazolam	Intravenous (IV) Lorazepam	IV Diazepam • 0.15-0.2 mg/kg	
• 10 mg [5 mg if <40 kg]	 0.1 mg/kg [max 4 mg] May repeat 	[max 10 mg] • May repeat	



Methods

Design: Single-center retrospective cohort study
Location: Froedtert Hospital Emergency Department (ED)
Study Period: 1/1/2013 - 6/1/2020

Primary Outcome	 Incidence of treatment escalation Intubation or intubation attempt OR Need for second line medication with documentation of continued seizure activity
Secondary Outcomes	 Hospital length of stay (LOS) Intensive care unit (ICU) LOS Ventilator-dependent days (VDD) Adverse Events



Methods

Design: Single-center retrospective cohort study
Location: Froedtert Hospital Emergency Department (ED)
Study Period: 1/1/2013 - 6/1/2020

Primary Outcome	 Incidence of treatment escalation Intubation or intubation attempt OR Need for second line medication with documentation of continued seizure activity
Secondary Outcomes	 Hospital length of stay (LOS) Intensive care unit (ICU) LOS Ventilator-dependent days (VDD) Adverse Events

Inclusion Criteria

Exclusion Criteria

- 18 years or older
- Diagnosed with SE
- Received a BZD as initial therapy (pre-hospital or in the ED)

Pregnancy

- Incomplete medical record or transfer from another institution with unknown BZD product, dose, route, or timing
- Receipt of non-BZD as initial treatment
- History of or current pseudo-seizure
- Seizure activity related to traumatic brain injury within 7 days
- Seizure activity related to alcohol withdrawal
- Chronic ventilation prior to presentation

















	Based on total dose			Based on initial dose		
	Below	Achieved		Below	Achieved	
	Days (me	an, +/- STD)	p-value	Days (mea	an, +/- STD)	p-value
Hospital LOS	6.90 (8.25)	7.41 (9.10)	0.844	7.72 (9.10)	3.12 (2.36)	0.043
ICU LOS	3.53 (3.85)	3.43 (3.75)	0.848	3.71 (3.90)	1.40 (1.07)	0.009
VDD	1.52 (3.23)	1.54 (2.22)	0.281	1.65 (2.83)	0.62 (1.11)	0.084





	Based on total dose			Based on initial dose		
	Below	Achieved		Below	Achieved	
	Days (me	an, +/- STD)	p-value	Days (mea	ın, +/- STD)	p-value
Hospital LOS	6.90 (8.25)	7.41 (9.10)	0.844	7.72 (9.10)	3.12 (2.36)	0.043
ICU LOS	3.53 (3.85)	3.43 (3.75)	0.848	3.71 (3.90)	1.40 (1.07)	0.009
VDD	1.52 (3.23)	1.54 (2.22)	0.281	1.65 (2.83)	0.62 (1.11)	0.084





	Based on total dose			Based on initial dose		
	Below	Achieved		Below	Achieved	
	Days (me	an, +/- STD)	p-value	Days (mea	ın, +/- STD)	p-value
Hospital LOS	6.90 (8.25)	7.41 (9.10)	0.844	7.72 (9.10)	3.12 (2.36)	0.043
ICU LOS	3.53 (3.85)	3.43 (3.75)	0.848	3.71 (3.90)	1.40 (1.07)	0.009
VDD	1.52 (3.23)	1.54 (2.22)	0.281	1.65 (2.83)	0.62 (1.11)	0.084

Difference in <u>adverse events</u> (Below vs. Achieved guideline dosing) for total and initial dose comparisons were not significant [p>0.05] Based on <u>initial dose</u> comparison, the *below* guideline dosing group required **more repeated BZD doses** versus the *achieved* dosing group [p< 0.001]



Conclusions

Initial BZD dosing comparison:

 Below guideline recommended doses were <u>significantly more likely</u> to require treatment escalation and had <u>longer</u> hospital & ICU LOS

Total BZD dosing comparison:

• <u>No differences</u> in primary or secondary outcomes for below guideline recommendations versus achieved guideline dosing

<u>No differences</u> between the groups with regards to adverse events

Limitations:

- Single center, retrospective review
- Inconsistent & subjective documentation



Optimization of Benzodiazepine Use for Status Epilepticus in the Emergency Department

MARY O'KEEFE, PHARMD

PGY2 EMERGENCY MEDICINE PHARMACY RESIDENT

FROEDTERT & THE MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN

FROEDTERT HOSPITAL

JUNE 2021



Comparison of Diltiazem Dosing on Successful Rate Control or Cardioversion in the Emergency Department

Casey L. Boyer, PharmD PGY2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident

Grady Health System – Atlanta, GA



Background



- Atrial fibrillation guidelines recommend non-DHP CCBs as a first-line option in rate control
- Non-DHP CCBs are commonly used for rate control/pharmacologic cardioversion of other supraventricular arrhythmias
- Diltiazem is an ideal agent provided as an initial bolus of 0.25 mg/kg IV

Current Literature in Diltiazem Dosing

	Lee et al. (2011)	Ross et al. (2016)	Gasbarro et al. (2019)
Study Design	Retrospective chart review	Retrospective chart review	Retrospective observational study
	(N=180)	(N=456)	(N=97)
Study Cohorts	Low dose (≤0.2 mg/kg) Standard dose (>0.2 to ≤0.3 mg/kg) High dose (>0.3 mg/kg)	Weight-based dose (0.2-0.3 mg/kg) Standard dose (10 mg)	"On-label" dosing "Off-label" dosing
Results	Therapeutic response in the low	Treatment success in the weight-	"On-label" dosing: 14%
	(70.5%), standard (77.1%) and high	based (68.7%) and standard	Patients with "off-label" dosing
	dose (77.8%) groups did not differ	(60.8%) group did not differ	required additional rate control
	significantly (p=0.615)	significantly (p=0.082)	(41% v. 7%, p <0.04)

Ross AL, O'Sullivan DM, Drescher MJ, Krawczynski MA. J Emerg Med. 2016;51(4):440-446. Gasbarro NM, DiDomenico RJ. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2019;76(4):214-220. Lee J, Kim K, Lee CC, et al. Am J Emerg Med. 2011;29(8):849-54.

Purpose

Opportunity

 Diltiazem is often prescribed at doses less than the FDA-approved labeling of 0.25 mg/kg IV for acute ventricular rate control in the Grady Emergency Department

Purpose

 To compare the safety and efficacy of diltiazem doses administered for supraventricular arrhythmia treatment

Study Methods

Study Design	Single-center, retrospective medical record review
Study Duration	October 1, 2019 to October 7, 2020
Data Collection	Epic EHR
Data Review	Emory Institutional Review Board

Patient Population

Inclusion Criteria

-Age ≥18 years

-Acute supraventricular arrhythmia with HR ≥120 bpm

-Received initial IV diltiazem bolus in the emergency department

Exclusion Criteria

-Received any rate/rhythm controlling agents* or electrical cardioversion prior to diltiazem

-Insufficient chart documentation of vital signs and medication administration

-No weight recorded within 6 months before or after encounter-Pregnant or incarcerated

*except adenosine

Study Endpoints

Primary Outcome

- Successful treatment within 30 minutes (as composite outcome of rate control or cardioversion):
 - **Rate control:** HR <100 bpm or reduction of \ge 20% from baseline
 - Cardioversion: resultant normal sinus rhythm

Secondary Outcomes

- Sustained heart rate response within 2 hours
- Need for additional diltiazem bolus within 2 hours
- Additional rate/rhythm controlling agents required within 2 hours
- Incidence of hypotension (SBP <90mmHg and/or >20% reduction in baseline SBP)

Statistical Analysis

- SAS v.9.4 utilized for data analysis
- Patients stratified into two groups:
 - Off-Label: Diltiazem <0.2 mg/kg
 - **On-Label:** Diltiazem ≥0.2 mg/kg
- T-test for continuous variables
- Chi-square or Fisher's exact test for dichotomous variables



Baseline Demographics

Characteristic	<0.2 mg/kg (n=49) Off-Label	≥0.2 mg/kg (n=36) On-Label	P-value
Median Age [IQR], years	61 [58, 71]	61 [55, 65.5]	0.27
Female, n (%)	28 (57.1)	7 (19.4)	0.0005
Race, n(%)			
Black	36 (73.5)	29 (80.6)	0.10
White	12 (24.5)	7 (19.4)	
Median BMI [IQR], kg/m ²	32.1 [25.8, 38.6]	26.9 [22.8, 30.4]	0.0181
Comorbidities, n(%)			
Hypertension	43 (87.8)	32 (88.9)	1.00
Afib/Aflutter	22 (44.9)	16 (44.4)	0.97
Heart Failure	20 (40.8)	6 (16.7)	0.0170
Home Medications, n(%)			
Beta Blocker	24 (49.0)	14 (38.9)	0.36
Non-DHP CCB	5 (10.2)	3 (8.3)	1.00
Antiarrhythmic	4 (8.2)	2 (5.6)	1.00

Baseline Hemodynamics

Baseline Rhythm



	<0.2 mg/kg (n=49) Off-Label	≥0.2 mg/kg (n=36) On-Label
/ledian HR [IQR], bpm	149 [135, 163]	149 [137, 162]
/ledian SBP [IQR], mmHg	134 [121, 148]	136 [116, 152]
khythm, n(%)		
Atrial Fibrillation	37 (75.5)	25 (69.4)
Atrial Flutter	7 (14.3)	10 (27.8)
Paroxysmal SVT	5 (10.2)	1 (2.8)

Diltiazem Dosing

<0.2 mg/kg (Off-Label)

Average Dose (mg): 12.9 mg Average Dose (mg/kg): 0.14 mg/kg Mode: 10 mg Range: 5 – 35 mg ≥0.2 mg/kg (On-Label)

Average Dose (mg): 21.4 mg Average Dose (mg/kg): 0.25 mg/kg Mode: 20 mg Range: 10 – 38 mg

Primary Outcome

Treatment Success at 30 Minutes



Secondary Outcomes

	<0.2 mg/kg	≥0.2 mg/kg	P-value
Sustained HR Response, n(%)	14 (50.0)	11 (47.8)	NS
Additional Diltiazem Bolus, n(%)	23 (46.9)	13 (36.1)	0.3182
Additional Rate Controlling Agents, n(%)	6 (12.2)	2 (5.6)	NS
Hypotension, n(%)	11 (22.4)	7 (20.0)	0.7874

Discussion

- Diltiazem is often administered doses less than the FDA-labeled dosing
- There was no statistically significant difference in treatment success between patients that received off-label vs. on-label dosing of diltiazem
- Patients that received <0.2 mg/kg diltiazem did not require a statistically significant different amount of additional doses and other rate controlling medications
- There was no difference in the rates of hypotension between the dosing regimens
- This study was not adequately powered to detect differences, and larger randomized studies are warranted

Limitations

- Retrospective design
- Inaccurate/incomplete nursing documentation
- Hemodynamic measurements at one point in time
- Confounding variables

Conclusion

Diltiazem doses of at least 0.2 mg/kg resulted similar rates of treatment success without increasing the risk for hypotension

Questions?

Casey L. Boyer, PharmD PGY2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident

Grady Health System – Atlanta, GA

clboyer@gmh.edu

Grady



Boston Medical Center HEALTH SYSTEM

Optimizing the Treatment of Congestive Heart Failure Exacerbations in the Emergency Department

Gideon Berdahl, PharmD PGY1 Pharmacy Resident

Monique Payne-Cardona, PharmD, BCPS PGY2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident



Congestive heart failure (CHF) exacerbations have led to ~1 million emergency department (ED) visits and 980,000 annual hospitalizations nationwide¹



Majority of patients require intravenous (IV) diuretic therapy to achieve euvolemia and provide symptom relief²



To monitor diuretic response, practice guidelines suggest serial assessment of urine output (UOP), vital signs, and body weight³

- 1. Circ Heart Fail. 2018 Dec;11(12):e004873.
- 2. Am Heart J. 2018 Sep;203:95-100.
- 3. Circulation. 2013 Oct 15;128(16):e240-327.



- At **Boston Medical Center**, ED baseline data observed:
- ~65 CHF exacerbations present to the ED each month
- 93% admittance rate (national average is 82%)
- Inpatient length of stay (LOS) is 8.7 days (national average is 2-6 days)
- Less than 50% of diuretic orders utilize high-dose diuretic strategy
- UOP was monitored in less than 20% of CHF patients
DOSE TRIAL⁴ Quicker diuresis and resolution of

symptoms when using 2.5x the patient's total home daily diuretic dose

<u>ROSE-AHF TRIAL</u>⁵ Urine sodium levels (≤60 mmol/L) postdiuretic dose are associated with longer hospital LOS

4. Am J Card. 2015;116(3):400-5.

5. Clin Cardiol. 2020;43(1):43-9.

Reduce hospital LOS for CHF exacerbations by at least one day by May 31st, 2021 through the optimization of CHF management in the ED

Baseline (Oct 2019-Dec 2019) PDSA Cycles (Oct 2020-May 2021)

- Quality improvement project performed at a 496-bed urban, academic level I trauma center
- A multidisciplinary task force with relevant stakeholders was organized in October 2020 to standardize ED CHF exacerbation management
 - ED CHF Management Algorithm was developed as the key PDSA cycle
- Effective **diuresis** was defined as:
 - UOP of \geq 1,000 mL within the first 4 hours
 - UNa level of ≥65 mmol/L at 1-2 hours post-diuretic
- Appropriate IV diuretic dosing was based on the high-dose strategy utilized in the DOSE trial, but modified from 2.5 times to 2 times the total home daily diuretic dose to reduce the likelihood for acute kidney injury





Utilization of High-dose Diuretic Strategy (%) P Chart

High-Dose Diuretic Strategy

- Dose of IV furosemide is equivalent to 2x total home daily diuretic dose
- Maximum of furosemide 200 mg IV per dose (or diuretic equivalent)



Utilization of High-dose Diuretic Strategy (%) P Chart

PDSA Cycles

- Removed diuretics from autoverify
- Pharmacists intervened on diuretic orders
- Pop-up alert for maximum dose changed from furosemide 150 mg IV to 201 mg
- Implementation of ED CHF algorithm



Acute Kidney Injury Incidence (%) P Chart

Acute Kidney Injury (AKI)

 Rise is serum creatinine ≥0.3 mg/dL in the first 72 hours postdiuretic administration

- No significant difference in hospital LOS was observed
- Utilization of the high-dose diuretic strategy increased to a mean compliance rate of 92%
- Despite higher diuretic doses, the incidence of AKI did not increase
- Monitoring Parameters:
 - <u>UOP</u>
 - Documentation increased to a mean of 80%
 - Volume was inconsistently charted and/or not serially documented
 - o <u>UNa</u>
 - In UNa levels ≥ 65 mmol/L, the mean UOP within 4 hours was 1,424 mL (n=42)
 - For UNa levels collected *incorrectly* there was no clear correlation with UOP

- Compliance with the algorithm
 - Relies on every member of the healthcare team working to fulfill their unique roles
 - Not P&T approved algorithm is a recommendation not an institutional policy
 - Transitions between phases of care while patients are moving through the algorithm
- Inconsistency of algorithm parameter collection
 - UOP monitoring and documenting
 - UNa level collection



Standardization of CHF exacerbation management led to a more uniformed approach in the ED



The multidisciplinary task force allowed for frequent assessment and reassessment of current practices to ensure the best practices for patients



Boston Medical Center HEALTH SYSTEM

Optimizing the Treatment of Congestive Heart Failure Exacerbations in the Emergency Department

Gideon Berdahl, PharmD PGY1 Pharmacy Resident Gideon.Berdahl@bmc.org

Monique Payne-Cardona, PharmD, BCPS *PGY2 Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Resident* Monique.Payne-Cardona@bmc.org Pharmacist management of positive culture results after discharge

• Monica E. Coupe, PharmD

- OhioHealth Grant Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio
- PGY-1/MS Health-System Pharmacy Administration and Leadership Resident

Author of this presentation has nothing to disclose



49

FSEDs



Background

Intervention

Aims

Methods

Data Analysis

Results

Limitations

Future Direction

Conclusion

Patients frequently receive culture results after discharge

Various healthcare personnel can review these cultures and prescribe or recommend antimicrobial therapy:







Background

Intervention

Aims

Methods

Data Analysis

Results

Limitations

Future Direction

Conclusion

Consult agreements allow pharmacists to directly interpret cultures, sensitivities, and patient information to **independently** manage and prescribe antibiotic therapy







FSED Pharmacist Intervention







Primary Aim













Analysis



Background

Intervention

Aim

Methods

Data Analysis

Results

Limitations

Future Direction

Conclusion



Compare: percentages of appropriately managed culture results after discharge from FSEDs



Analyze: potential cost-benefit of pharmacists' ability to bill for their clinical services



Assess: appropriate disease state management between the three standards of care



Primary Outcome





p = <0.001



















Annualized Costs of Study Intervention	Current State	Level 1 Billing Recommendation	Level 1 & 3 Billing Future Recommendation
Intervention Cost - Pharmacist Time (\$57/hr)	\$11,741	\$11,741	\$11,741
Intervention Benefit - Reimbursement of Service	\$0	\$36,901	\$51,449
Net Monetary Benefit	(\$11,741)	\$25,160	\$39,708
Benefit-Cost Ratio	0	3.14	4.38





Background

Intervention

Aims

Methods

Data Analysis

Results

Limitations

Future Direction

Conclusion

• Lean pharmacist team

- Small study population
- Unparalleled study groups





Conclusion



Background

Intervention

Aims

Methods

Data Analysis

Results

Limitations

Future Direction

Conclusion

Independent pharmacist management leads to:
 Significantly improved culture management

- o Faster follow-up
- 50% reduction in revisits or admissions*



Questions?



Characterization of alteplase use in cardiac arrest within a community hospital network

Primary investigator: Krista Dumkow, PharmD, BCPS Krista.Dumkow@adventhealth.com Co-investigators: James Priano, PharmD, BCPS; Emily To, PharmD, BCCCP AdventHealth Orlando

Background

- Incidence of cardiac arrest due to massive pulmonary embolism (PE): 5-13%
- Alteplase intravenous thrombolytic agent to rapidly activate fibrinolysis and resolve clots
 - Restore pulmonary perfusion and improve hemodynamics
- Current recommendations
 - Cardiac arrest associated with PE: 50 mg bolus over 2 minutes and continue CPR for 15 minutes (off-label)
 - Hemodynamically unstable with a high risk, massive PE: 100 mg over 2-hours

Methods

- Retrospective chart review
 September 10, 2014 –
 August 28, 2020
- Assessed appropriateness of alteplase initiation for adult patients in cardiac arrest
 - Dosing
 - ROSC
 - Mortality

Inclusion criteria

 Patients greater than 18 years of age who received alteplase during cardiac arrest

Exclusion criteria

 Patients who received alteplase for indications other than suspected or confirmed PE or STEMI

Conclusion

Patient Demographics n = 74

Presentation Demographics

Age, y, median (IQR)	55 (42-70)	Arrest location, n (%)	34 (46)
Weight, kg, median (IQR)	90.7 (79.4-113.4)	ED Inpatient	15 (20) 25 (34)
Male gender, n (%)	40 (54)	Initial arrest rhythm, n (%) PEA	50 (68)
History of: Stroke, n (%)	1 (1.4)	Asystole VF VT	11 (15) 12 (16) 1 (1)
Hypertension, n (%)	37 (50)	Indication for alteplase, n (%)	19 (66)
DVT/PE, n (%)	17 (22.9)	Confirmed PE STEMI	4 (6)

Results – tPA dosing

Alteplase dosing	n (%)	Differences by dose, 50 mg vs. 100 mg		
15 mg single dose	1 (1.4)		99.6 kg vs. 111 kg	p = 0.215
50 mg single dose	39 (52.7)	Weight		
50 mg two doses	12 (16.2)		26.3 min vs. 19.4 min	p = 0.065
50 mg three doses	1 (1.4)	Pre-tPA CPR		
60 mg single dose	1 (1.4)	uuration		
100 mg single dose	19 (25.7)	Confirmed	73.5% vs 26.5%	p = 0.164
100 mg plus 50 mg doses	1 (1.4)	PE		

Results – ROSC, mortality, and ADRs

Variable effect on achieving ROSC		Mortality and ADRs	n (%)	
Arrest Location p = 0.235		Overall mortality	70 (94.6)	
		p = 0.235	Overall achieved	31 (15 9)
50 mg vs 100 42. mg tPA dose 52	42.3% vs.		ROSC	54 (45.5)
	52.6%	p = 0.591	Mortality of those achieving ROSC	30 (88.2)
Suspected vs. Confirmed PE	30.8% vs. 81.8%	p < 0.001	Bleeding events Major Minor	7 (9.5) 4 (5.4)



•Patients with a confirmed pulmonary embolism were 2.6 times more likely to achieve ROSC post-tPA administration during cardiac arrest

Patient weight or dose of tPA administered did not appear to impact ROSC

Dosing strategies not consistent across hospital network


Characterization of alteplase use in cardiac arrest within a community hospital network

Primary investigator: Krista Dumkow, PharmD, BCPS Krista.Dumkow@adventhealth.com Co-investigators: James Priano, PharmD, BCPS; Emily To, PharmD, BCCCP AdventHealth Orlando

Rocuronium Dosing in Rapid Sequence Intubation in Obese Patients

ASHP Emergency Medicine SAG Resident Research Webinar 2021

Presenter: Amanda Lewandowski, PharmD | PGY-1 Pharmacy Resident Co-Authors: Alaa Sulh, PharmD; Michael Cirone, MD; Mary Hormese, PharmD, BCPS; Marc McDowell, PharmD, BCPS

Site: Advocate Christ Medical Center



Background

Approximately 1/3 of adults in the United States are obese

Incidence of difficult intubations

- Normal patients 6%
- Obese patients 10 15%

Predictors of difficult airways

- Obstructive sleep apnea
- Advanced age
- Male
- Short neck
- Facial trauma
- Facial anomaly
- Beards/facial hair

Patanwala A, et al. *Emerg Med J* 2017;0:1-5. Shiga T, et al. *Anesthesiology*. 2005;103:429–37 Juvin P, et al. Anesth Analg. 2003;97:595–600 Brodsky JB, et al. Can J Anaesth. 2000 Aug; 47(8):730-9



Rocuronium

- Pharmacokinetics
 - Distribution
 - Vd: 0.22 0.26 L/kg
 - Protein binding
 - 30%
 - Low lipophilicity
 - Binds tightly to antagonize receptors

-		
-		1
662-10		Ş.#)
iro	niu	m
mg pe	10	H
<u>r mL)</u> 10 mL	For IV UN Multi-Co	e (21) 16 16 16
	662-10 Finjec Injec Injec	662-10 Enjection Injection Mag per 10 rmu For NUM 10 mL MAR-COM

Ankam JA et al. *BJA Education*. 2004 Feb; 4(1):2-7 Meyoff CS, et al. *Anesth Analg*. 2009;109:787-92

Dosing Strategies of Rocuronium

- Package Insert Dosing: 0.6 1.2mg/kg
- Currently, dosing remains dependent on practitioner preference
- Dosing can be based on
 - Total body weight (TBW)
 - Ideal body weight (IBW)
 - Lean body weight (LBW)
 - Adjusted body weight (aBW)



Evaluation of Rocuronium Dosing in Rapid Sequence Intubation Based on Ideal Body Weight vs. Non-Ideal Body Weight in Obese Patients: A Prospective, Observational Study









44,279 Discharges in FY18



> **120,000** ED visits in FY20



SERVICES INCLUDE:

- 24 hour ED Pharmacist coverage (as of Dec. 2020)
- Level I Trauma Center
- Tertiary community teaching institution
- Comprehensive Stroke Center
- Level III Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
- 8 Intensive Care Units
- 83 Medical Specialties

Study Objective

To evaluate the use of ideal body weight versus total body weight dosing of rocuronium in obese patients for rapid sequence intubation in the emergency department



Study Design

Approved by Advocate Aurora Institutional Review Board (IRB)

Study Design

• Single-center, prospective observational, non-inferiority

Study Recruitment

• December 2018 – May 2021



Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- Intubated in the Emergency Department at Advocate Christ Medical Center
- Use of rocuronium
- Obese: TBW > 30% of IBW or BMI >30

Exclusion Criteria:

- Age <18 years
- Known neuromuscular disease
- Allergy or sensitivity to study drug
- Concomitant use of medications known to interfere with neuromuscular transmission

Outcomes

Primary Endpoint

Optimal intubation conditions

Secondary Endpoint

Efficacy:

Duration of paralysis

Safety:

Incidence of post-intubation hypertension

🕂 😋 Advocate Aurora Health

Incidence of post-intubation tachycardia

Intervention

Rocuronium is dosed according to provider discretion IBW is calculated / TBW is obtained using either standard ED process or review of prior documentation in patients' charts

After intubation, the physician is asked to complete a 9-point survey to assess intubation conditions



Methods: Statistical Analysis

Primary Outcome

- Non-inferiority analysis resulted in an estimated subject sample size of 90
- Based on 97% in each group of "excellent conditions" with an 80% power
- Non-inferiority margin set at 12% difference
- Farrington-Manning method used for non-inferiority analysis

Descriptive Statistics

- Calculated for all variables, presented overall and by group using mean <u>+</u> SD for continuous variables and count/percentages for categorical variables
- Chi-Square or Fisher's: comparison between groups for categorical data
- Student's t-tests: comparison between groups for continuous data

All tests two-tailed and p-values of 0.05 statistically significant



Required RSI with rocuronium (N=104)

Excluded patients:TBW <30% of IBW (N=8)

Met inclusion criteria (N=96)

Dosed by total body weight (N=54) Dosed by ideal body weight (N=42)

AdvocateAuroraHealth

Primary Outcome

Optimal Intubation Conditions



Relative Risk	Limit [90% CI]	p-Value
1.05	0.88 [0.75-1.40]	0.19

First Pass Success

TBW N [%]	IBW N [%]	p-Value
49 [92.5%]	31 [83.8%]	0.2

🕂 😋 Advocate Aurora Health

Secondary Outcome

Duration of paralysis: Time to muscle recovery (min) [IQR]

TBW [N = 49]	IBW [N = 33]	P-Value
71 [57-96]	43 [40 – 60]	<0.01

Incidence of Post-Intubation Hypertension

TBW	IBW	P-Value
43.4%	27.0%	0.11

Incidence of Post-Intubation Tachycardia

TBW	IBW	P-Value
35.9%	37.8%	0.85

Results

Results	TBW N = 53 [%]	IBW N = 37 [%]	P-Value
Intubating physician • PGY1 • PGY2 • PGY3 • Attending	12 [22.6%] 13 [24.5%] 22 [41.5%] 6 [11.3%]	7 [18.9%] 8 [21.6%] 17 [46.0%] 5 [13.5%]	0.94

Results	TBW N = 53	IBW N = 37	P-Value
Average rocuronium dose (mg)	100 [90-100]	70 [60-84.5]	<0.01
Complications	4 [7.6%]	3 [8.1%]	1.00
Sedation Difficulty	7 [13.2%]	2 [5.7%]	0.26

+ CAdvocateAuroraHealth

Study Analysis

Strengths:

- Prospective
- Appropriately powered statistical significance

Limitations:

- Observational, single-centered study design
- Differences in intubating physicians' level of training
- Total body weight may have been based on estimated weight
- Retrospective chart review for missing data
- Dosing classification of IBW/TBW interpreted based on dose
- Duration of paralysis based on subjective nature of observation

- Ideal body weight dosing of rocuronium is non-inferior to total body weight dosing in obese patients that require rapid sequence intubation in the emergency department
- This study suggests that there is no difference in optimal intubation conditions between the two dosing strategies
- Follow up superiority studies are required with a larger patient population to determine if there is a difference in optimal intubation conditions between IBW and TBW dosing

Rocuronium Dosing in Rapid Sequence Intubation in Obese Patients

ASHP Emergency Medicine SAG Resident Research Webinar 2021

Presenter: Amanda Lewandowski, PharmD | PGY-1 Pharmacy Resident **Co-Authors**: Alaa Sulh, PharmD; Michael Cirone, MD; Mary Hormese, PharmD, BCPS; Marc McDowell, PharmD, BCPS

Contact Information: amanda.Lewandowski@aah.org



Evaluation of Emergency Medicine Pharmacist-facilitated Sexually Transmitted Infection Microbiologic Test Follow-up in Patients Discharged from the Emergency Department

- Maribel Llamas Rangel, PharmD
- Maribel.llamas7@gmail.com
- PGY1 Pharmacy Resident
- The MetroHealth System
- Cleveland, Ohio

*Neither I or the contributing investigators have financial or nonfinancial relationships to disclose.

Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs) Background

•STIs such as *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhea* and/or *Trichomonas vaginalis* affects 1 in 5 individuals costing the healthcare system nearly \$16 billion in direct medical costs

•Ohio was ranked 20th for most reported cases for chlamydia and 13th for most reported gonorrhea cases.

•Due to the increase rates, STIs are being seen frequently in emergency departments (ED) and management in the ED may be challenging due to diagnostic tests can take several days to result

םםם,

Previous literature has demonstrated the importance EMP post discharge test monitoring and surveillance by significantly reducing time to follow up





Study Purpose



To assess the impact on time to patient notification, documented treatment, and/or STI-related visits following EMP implementation on culture follow-up



Study Design

Quality Improvement, randomized retrospective chart review

- Physician group: June 1st, 2019 to September 31st, 2019
- Pharmacist group: June 1st, 2020 to September 31st, 2020

Inclusion

- Patients > 16 years old
- Patients discharged from MetroHealth Medical center emergency departments
- Patients with positive tests for *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria* gonorrhea and/or *Trichomonas* vaginalis

Exclusion

- Admitted to the hospital
- Patients who had a positive *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhea* and/or *Trichomonas vaginalis* test result within 3 months
- If follow up occurred by a physician in the pharmacist group
- If follow up occurred by a pharmacist in the physician group



Objectives

Primary Objective

• Identify if follow-up of a positive test was completed when comparing EMPfacilitated STI review to pre-implementation phase

Secondary Objective

• Evaluate impact of EMP- facilitated STI review process on time from positive test result to first patient outreach, time to documented treatment, documented oral treatment, and repeat visits within 30-days



STUDY RESULTS

Primary Objective - Results



Documented Oral Treatment



P value < 0.001

Time From Positive Test to First Documented Patient Outreach



P value = 0.002 Δ = 24.4h (72.8%)

Time From Positive Test to Documented Treatment



Δ = 189.5h (91.4%)

Return to ED or Outside Provider for Oral Treatment Within 30 days



Conclusions

Primary Objective

• Resulted in more patients with positive tests for *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhea* and/or *Trichomonas vaginalis* receiving follow-up

Secondary Objective

- Significantly reduced time from test result to patient outreach
- Significantly fewer STI-related repeat visits within 30 days for patients with positive *Chlamydia trachomatis* and/or *Trichomonas vaginalis* results



Discussion

Strengths	Limitations
Balanced groups	Relied on follow-up being documented by provider in electronic medical record
Appropriate statistical analysis	Small study population size
Compared to a control group	Single-centered
Randomized	



QUESTIONS





Thank you for attending!

- No CE credit is offered for this activity.
- Please send any remaining questions to sections@ashp.org

