Professional Poster Midyear Meeting Poster
Peer Review Process

Each reviewer will be given the same criteria for reviewing your submission, so it is important that your abstract is well written and meets the guidelines detailed in the Format and Content Guidelines document. Abstracts will be evaluated only on the data submitted.

Peer Reviewers will evaluate content based on the following criteria:

- Presentation balance
- Relevance and importance of topic to our attendees
- Scientific merit
- Abstract format

COMMON REASONS FOR REJECTION

- Instructions not followed; format indicated in instructions is not utilized
- Misleading title
- Commercial tone or a biased conclusion
- Research/project is not original
- Lack of scientific quality or validity; poor quality of research methodology; methods are not reproducible; lack of data or measurable outcomes
- Data collection is ongoing or has not begun
- Inconsistent or ambiguous data
- Lack of conclusions or conclusions that do not match objectives
- Several abstracts from the same study submitted
- Incomplete author disclosure statement (lack of details) or no disclosure statement

Authors that are members of ASHP will be given acceptance priority over non-ASHP members, should acceptable submissions exceed space available.

©ASHP Educational Services